Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800200024-5

FILE Blog + M

DD/S 71-1540

27 APR 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Communications

Director of Security

SUBJECT

: Technical Research Assistance in Planning

for New Facilities

I attach copies of correspondence with the Director of Logistics on the above subject together with the original draft proposal. I believe that we should be very conscious of any technical threats to the Headquarters Building or other Agency locations in this area and that we should be tapping all of the expertise which the original draft memorandum to the other Deputies suggested.

(signed) John W. Coffey

John W. Coffey Deputy Director for Support

ec: D/Logistics

DD/S:JWC:llc (27 April 1971)

Distribution:

- O D/C
- 1 D/S
- 1 D/L
- 1 DD/S Chrono

Y - DD/S Subject

Atts: DD/S 71-1469 - Memo for DD/S frm D/L dtd 19 Apr 71 (informal memo re Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities

DD/S 71-0533 - Memo for DD/I, DD/P, & DD/S&T frm DD/S subj. Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities (not dated or signed)

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 \CIA-RDP84-00780R003800200024-5

1 9 APR 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

Dear Jack:

- 1. I now write to you and, from my point of view, close the issue on the matter of "Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities." As you may recall, this was a spontaneously generated activity by this Office and had greater significance when it appeared that we were going into a building program at Langley.
- I also have had my feeling of "unease" with the issue. The one matter that has always stuck in my mind, however, is that there does appear to be a definite plan to erect the Soviet Embassy on Wisconsin Avenue at an elevation allegedly higher than Langley and at a 4.3 line-of-sight mile distance between the two locations. I well remember when I was in DD/S&T being aware of much concern in the Government over the "electronic flooding" of the U. S. Embassy in Moscow. I do not know what the ultimate diagnosis of the problem was but the portents could have been quite drastic. The state of the art is now 6 years later, and I believe the erection of the Soviet Embassy is a significant development.

sibility.	Perhaps the thi	n to us and wha	at is involved berely forward a	nere is basic all this mate	expertise to handle the ally a Support respon- rial to Oz and ask that
25X¼e have		and his people	e be ever mind	ful of what th	ne problem is or could
25X1 ^{be} ·		'			
			Tohn 1	F. Blake	
			Director	of Logistics	


DD/S 71-1287 1 3 APR 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Logistics

SUBJECT

: Technical Research Assistance in Planning

for New Facilities



2. I wonder also since we got a rather positive reaction from the Director on new buildings on campus whether it is appropriate to go to the Deputies at this time assuming that my other uneasiness is not sensible.

25X1

JUILLY COLLEY Deputy Director for Support

DD/S:JWC:11c (9 April 1971)

Distribution:

O - Addressee

1 - DD/S Subject w/xcy DD/S 71-0533 1 - DD/S Chrono w/O DD/S 71-0533 and copies

DD/S 71-0533: Memo for DD/I, DD/P & DD/S&T frm DD/S subj: Technical Research Assisted Foi Releasing 00310 1229 Feral History 840003800200024-5 المستدنات

Appr	oved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800200024-5
	$\omega \circ \omega$!
	: da
	Wholes the
	Co
	Janes Joseph Cliff
	of themes Soff -
	July 3
	Jech Seemet formalle.
	10 Lundestand
	ul, the Deputies:
	;
Appro	oved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP 84-207280F-90 3800200024-5

Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R0

18 Feb 71

MR. WATTLES - has reviewed

MR. COFFEY

•	ROU'	TING AND	RECORD	SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
	esearch Assistan	ce in Planni	ng for Nev	w Facilities
FPOM.	lanning Staff, Ol		EXTENSION	NO.
-				DATE
		\mathcal{A}		DATE
TO: (Officer designation, root building)	n number, and	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number and a second
•	RECE	IVED FORWARDED	INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. C/Physical Secur Office of Secu	ity Division,		1	
Office of Secu	rity	511		NOTE: The position taken in this
2.		- Tro		memorandum is a consensus of the
				DD/S officers representing the Offices
				of Communications, Logistics and
3. C/Technical Secu Office of Secur	rity Division,	1		Security. It indicates the initial information desired from the four
	٠	ofen. y		Directorates following their considera
4.		- 1 6 1 3		tion of the stated problems. The
DO PTO	25	1007/1	ľ	memorandum also notes that after
5.Director of Secur	rity			examination of the responses, a coor-
		21/2/		dinated plan will be launched in order
6.				to obtain the maximum amount of technical information and guidance
.				for providing optimum security in the
				projected facilities.
7.C/Communication	s Security	50071		
Staff, OC	Ø	1 EB 71		
8.				
				Chief,
9.Director of Comm	unications			Building Planning Staff, OL
_	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	July 11		
10.				[in 15
	1			
11 0/2 11 21 21				this paper has
11. C/Building Planni	ng Staff, OL 7.	716-71		the paper has her wast ourse to the lessue, I the 100/5 since the might subminded ST this Office
12.				to the Mainer of the
_				10 10 1 The
13. Director of Logist	ics 10			120/3 /2000
	16	FEB 1971		magnit sulmin log ST
14.				1 200
-				
	Commont			,
5.Deputy Director fo	<u>r Support</u>			
				(p

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET								
SUBJECT: (Optional)				-				
Technical Research Assist	ance in P	lanning fo	or New F	Facilities				
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.				
Deputy Director for Suppor	t			25X1				
<u> </u>								
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	mber, and DATE	ATE	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from w				
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		to whom. Draw a line across column after each comme				
l. Deputy Director for Intelligen	ce							
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.	_							
8.								
9.								
10.								
11.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt